

Regional Body

Jamaat

Evaluation Date

| Performace Criteria  | Rating    | Weight | Score | Max  |
|--|-----------|--------|-------|------|
| <b>1 Strategic Assessment (Pre-sanction)</b>                 |           |        |       |      |
| 1.1 Demographics: Current Membership                         | Excellent | 25     | 3.8%  | 3.8% |
| 1.2 Demographics: Current Capacity Analysis                  | Excellent | 25     | 3.8%  | 3.8% |
| 1.3 Comprehensive Needs Analysis                             | Excellent | 25     | 3.8%  | 3.8% |
| 1.4 General Body Value Management (Optioneering)             | Excellent | 25     | 3.8%  | 3.8% |
| <b>2 Business Case: Pre-Feasibility</b>                      |           |        |       |      |
| 2.1 Preliminary Expansion Plans                              | Excellent | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 2.2 Preliminary Estimates                                    | Excellent | 20     | 3.0%  | 3.0% |
| 2.3 Preliminary Feasibility (Income Streams / Expenditure)   | Fair      | 25     | 1.9%  | 3.8% |
| 2.4 Preliminary Financial Sustainability Plan                | Fair      | 25     | 1.9%  | 3.8% |
| 2.5 Prelim Risk Mitigation Strategy                          | Fair      | 10     | 0.8%  | 1.5% |
| <b>3 Design Development</b>                                  |           |        |       |      |
| 3.1 Architectural Drawings                                   | Fair      | 10     | 0.8%  | 1.5% |
| 3.2 Planning Approval / Building Permit                      | No        | 10     | 0.0%  | 1.5% |
| 3.3 Revised Estimates  | No        | 10     | 0.0%  | 1.5% |
| 3.4 Value Engineering  | No        | 10     | 0.0%  | 1.5% |
| 3.5 Detailed Risk Register                                   | No        | 10     | 0.0%  | 1.5% |
| <b>4 Procurement Process</b>                                 |           |        |       |      |
| 4.1 Procurement: Best Risk Transfer Strategy                 | Excellent | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 4.2 Project Schedule & Cash Flow                             | Excellent | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 4.3 Tender Evaluation (Best Value)                           | No        | 10     | 0.0%  | 1.5% |
| 4.4 General Body Approval to Legal Commitment                | No        | 25     | 0.0%  | 3.8% |
| <b>5 Corporate Governance</b>                                |           |        |       |      |
| 5.1 Transparency and Website Updates                         | No        | 40     | 0.0%  | 6.1% |
| 5.2 Audit Trails and Governance                              | No        | 40     | 0.0%  | 6.1% |
| 5.4 Capacity of Jamaat to Generate Funds                     | No        | 40     | 0.0%  | 6.1% |
| <b>6 Submittal Template</b>                                  |           |        |       |      |
| 6.1 Project Committee (PC) established by Jamaat             | Yes       | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 6.2 PC consist of professionals relevant to the project      | Yes       | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 6.3 PC ensured Project Delivery Structures are in place      | Yes       | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 6.4 PC undertaken a demographic feasibility study            | Yes       | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 6.5 PC undertaken a financial feasibility study              | Yes       | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 6.6 PC ensured that data included in Project Plan            | Yes       | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 6.7 PV ensured Funding Strategies for Building & Maintenance | Yes       | 10     | 1.5%  | 1.5% |
| 6.8 60% Jamaat Membership Approval at General Meeting        | Yes       | 25     | 3.8%  | 3.8% |
| 6.9 30% Secured Funding from Membership                      | Yes       | 25     | 3.8%  | 3.8% |
| 6.10 Regional Federation reviewed the project                | Yes       | 25     | 3.8%  | 3.8% |
| 6.11 Regional Federation provided expertise for the Project  | Yes       | 50     | 7.6%  | 7.6% |
| 6.12 Regional Federation ensured Fund-raising managable      | Yes       | 50     | 7.6%  | 7.6% |

65%

100%